

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/784,057	02/16/2001	Michio Asukabe	202593US0	4856
22850 7:	590 10/22/2003		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			PADGETT, MARIANNE L	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

09/ 484 05 -

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

| 0 | 17 | 0 | 3

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the *EXAMINER* in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter. (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): (2) 1/2 1/
6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place
the application in condition for allowance, because: There is no critical difference between applicant's claimed plasm with oxidative at morphism, and those oxide property of the applicant of the applicant's claimed plasm. 7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
11. Other: Alt Corma trestment is a type of plemer, generally dono in air. Both Komo et al + Hubburdet 2 pleasures, the same substrate materials as claimed. There is no reason that one of ordinary skell in the cort would be the trade of ordinary skell in the cort would be the trade of ordinary skell in the cort would be the standard of the cort of the
ent elects on the same material then applicants generic oxidative planta willing (proster) of the same material then applicants generic oxidative planta planta willing the planta of the same supplied to facilitate without a separate planta polygen gater of the same of t
surface from the plana, they do not exclude the presence of other groups of a containing grows PRIMARY EXAMINER